ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M129057 Date Received: 10/21/11 Date Extracted: 10/25/11 Date Analyzed: 10/25/11 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Germanium

Client: Alaskan Copper Works Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M129057 Lab ID: 110294-01 x10

Data File: 110294-01 x10.047 Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Upper Lower Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: 84 60 125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 574Nickel 599 Copper 522 Zinc <10

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M129057

 Date Extracted:
 10/25/11
 Lab ID:
 I1-737 mb

 Date Analyzed:
 10/25/11
 Data File:
 I1-737 mb.038

 Matrix:
 Water
 Instrument:
 ICPMS1

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)
 Operator:
 AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 79 60 125

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/27/11 Date Received: 10/21/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M129057, F&BI 110294

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 110268-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	102	103	67-132	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.07	104	103	73-119	1
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	5.61	107 b	104 b	50-144	3 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	65.4	96 b	97 b	46-148	1 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Percent										
	Reporting	\mathbf{Spike}	Recovery	Acceptance								
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria								
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	66-135								
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	107	67-134								
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	107	66-134								
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	57-135								

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$ Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j-The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- ${
 m jl}$ The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$ nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

110294		98	SA	MPLE	CHA	in of c	US	TO.	DY	ME	_	10	21	[11		÷		AIY						
	& Report To STENAUS THOMPSON					(zignobure)					=			F		TOUX	URNAROUND TIME							
Company ALASKAN Coppen Works Address 628 S. Handard ST				PROJE	ECT N	AMENO.				1		PO #		֓֞֓֞֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֓֡	Stan	mara		6)						
				METRO SELEMONITOR M/29057 Broch changes authorized								azed by:												
					REMARKS SAMPLE BISPOSAL																			
City, State, ZIP SONTIE UA 98134				Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will eall with instructions																				
Phone # 206-571-60	085 Fax #_2	6-582-	4509_	L							5] [[WALL	oall wit	h inst	ructions						
		1	,							-	MAIL	Y388	1	JEST	ED	1								
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sampl	е Туре	# of containers	TPM Diesel	TPM-Gasoline	BTEX by SUZIE	VOCs by 8260	SVCCs by 8870	00. AZ					1	Notes						
m129057	01	10/21/11	12120	1 4	\bigcirc	/	-	L	B	A	ध्य	12)	-		\vdash								
11000	01	1. 121/11	10:80	M		/	-	1		\dashv		Ť												
3					- 1		Ī			Ì		1				Ī								
						1				1														
				0					_															
								_		_	1	_												
-1		7 C 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10						4	-	+	-	-	-			-								
							-	4		\dashv	+	+	-											
							+	+	-	-	+	╁	-											
riedmen & Bruse, Inc. SIGNATURE				PRINT NAME COM										TE	TIME									
012 16th Avenue West earth, WA 98119-2029	X			Nhan Phan FeBI					Acer				1/2		2:040									
h. (206) 285-8222										10/2	///	2:04												
ax (204) 283-5044	Received by				·		-19-	-1			-		,			1								
RMIS VODE VODE DOC		- <u>2110 - 12 44</u>	<u> </u>	1	Salini.		1,00				l		amn	lec re	reiv	ed at _	20	°C						

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

October 27, 2011

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on October 21, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M129057, F&BI 110294 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1027R.DOC